Case 3:05-cv-00464-MJJ Document 55 Filed 12/09/05 Page 1 of 3

		Case 3:05-cv-00464-MJJ Document	53-1	Filed 12/07/2005	Page 1	of I	LE	ф
	1 2 3 4 5	Kathryn J. Fritz (SBN 148200) Rachael G. Samberg (SBN 223694) FENWICK & WEST LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 1500 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-2300 Facsimile: (415) 281-1350 E-mail: kfritz@fenwick.com Karen P. Anderson (SBN 193618)		Kim Zeldin (SBN 12 Ronald S. Kravitz (S LINER YANKELE' SUNSHINE & REG 199 Fremont Street, San Francisco, CA 9 Telephone: (415) 48 Facsimile: (415) 48 E-Mail: kzeldin@li	35780RICI IBN 1264 VITZ VITZ EENSTREI 20th Floo 94105-225 89-7700 9-7701 nerlaw.com	HARD HIS. DI HIS. DI HIS DISTRI F LLP T S	9 20	ue
	6 7 8 9	FENWICK & WEST LLP Silicon Valley Center 801 California Street Mountain View, CA 94041 Telephone: (650) 988-8500 Facsimile: (650) 938-5200 E-mail: kanderson@fenwick.com Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant PROTEGO NETWORKS, INC., no Protego Networks LLC, and Counterclaim Defendants PARTHA BHATTACHARYA, IMIN LEE & YU LIAO		JoAnna M. Esty (SBN 147903) LINER YANKELEVITZ SUNSHINE & REGENSTREIF LLP 1100 Glendon Avenue, 14th Floor Los Angeles, California 90024-3503 Telephone: (310) 500-3500 Facsimile: (310) 500-3501 E-Mail: jesty@linerlaw.com W Howard G. Silverman (pro hac vice) Vincent C. Cataldo (pro hac vice) KANE AND SILVERMAN P.C. The Philadelphian 2401 Pennsylvania Avenue Suite 1c-44 Philadelphia, PA 19130 Telephone: (215) 232-1000 Facsimile: (215) 232-0181 E-Mail: hgs@palegaladvice.com, vcc@palegaladvice.com				
FENWICK & WEST LIP ATTORNEYS AT LAW MOUNTAIN VIEW	10 11 12 13 14							
FE	16 17 18			Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant DANIEL N. ZENCHELSKY DISTRICT COURT				
	19		ICT OF CALIFORNIA					
	20 21	PROTEGO NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware		SCO DIVISION Case No. C05-00464 MJJ				
	22 23	corporation, Plaintiff, v.	•	STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME FOR COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO SECOND AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM				
	24	DANIEL N. ZENCHELSKY,		AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM				
	25	Defendant.						
	26 27	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIM.		Complaint Filed: February 1, 2005				
	28	STIPULATION RE: SACC CASE NO. C05-00464 MJJ						

Case 3:05-cv-00464-MJJ Document 55 Filed 12/09/05 Page 2 of 3

Case 3:05-cv-00464-MJJ Document 53-1 Filed 12/07/2005 Page 2 of 2

Pursuant to N.D. Cal. Civil Local Rule 6-1(a), the parties to the above-entitled action 1 stipulate that counterclaim defendants Protego Networks LLC (formerly Protego Networks, Inc.), 2 Partha Bhattacharya, and Imin Lee shall each have up through and including December 16, 2005, 3 to answer the second amended counterclaim, which counterclaim was filed and served on 4 November 22, 2005 ("SACC"). Pursuant to N.D. Cal. Civil Local Rule 6-1(a), the parties to the 5 above-entitled action further stipulate that counterclaim defendant Yu Liao shall have up through 6 and including January 20, 2006, to answer or otherwise respond to the SACC. 7 These enlargements of time will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already 8 9 fixed by Court order. 10 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 11 FENWICK & WEST LLP Dated: December 7, 2005 12 By: s/Karen P. Anderson 13 Karen P. Anderson Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant 14 PROTEGO NETWORKS, INC., now Protego Networks LLC, and Counterclaim Defendants 15 PARTHA BHATTACHARYA, IMIN LEE & YU LIAO 16 17 Dated: December ____, 2005 LINER YANKELEVITZ SUNSHINE & REGENSTREIF LLP 18 19 By: _ Joshua S. Levenberg 20 Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant DANIEL N. ZENCHELSKY 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 2 STIPULATION RE: SACC CASE No. C05-00464 MJJ

FENWICK & WEST LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW MOUNTAIN VIEW

Case 3:05-cv-00464-MJJ Document 55 Filed 12/09/05 Page 3 of 3

Case 3:05-cv-00464-MJJ Document 53-2 Filed 12/07/2005 Page 1 of 1

	1							
	1	Pursuant to N.D. Cal. Civil Local Rule 6-1(a), the parties to the above-entitled action						
	2	stipulate that counterclaim defendants Protego Networks LLC (formerly Protego Networks, Inc.),						
	3	Partha Bhattacharya, and Imin Lee shall each have up through and including December 16, 2005,						
	4	to answer the second amended counterclaim, which counterclaim was filed and served on						
	5	November 22, 2005 ("SACC"). Pursuant to N.D. Cal. Civil Local Rule 6-1(a), the parties to the						
	6	•						
FENTRIAN RETENTIONS AFTOMBRES ATLAN KOUNTAIN VIEW	7							
	8	These enlargements of time will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already						
	9	fixed by Court order.						
	10	IT IS SO STIPULATED.						
	11	Dated: December, 2005	FENWICK & WEST LLP					
	12		Ву:					
	13		Karen P. Anderson					
	14		Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant PROTEGO NETWORKS, INC., now Protego Networks LLC, and Counterclaim Defendants					
	15 16		PARTHA BHATTACHARYA, IMIN LEE & YU LIAO					
	17	Dated: December	LINER YANKELEVITZ SUNSHINE & REGENSTREIF LLP					
	18							
	19		By:					
	20		Joshua S. Levenberg Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant DANIEL N. ZENCHELSKY					
	21							
	22							
	23							
	24							
	25		IT IS SO ORDERED					
	26		\wedge \wedge \wedge					
	27		Man Hander					
	28		MARTIN J. JENKINS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE					
		STIPULATION RE: SACC CASE NO. C05-00464 MJJ	12/9/2005					
		•	DÁTE					